INDO-CHINA

in		Only the well-to-do AimamiteSj who can
		offer serious
		s guarantors of a poor man's loan, and
	Is the	<u> </u>
		perpetration of
	hemselve	es, they have no Interest in aiding their
to		osier credit facilities. A creditor who
_		loans
		ne victim of a fraud, Is regularly out of
C	-	ganizations which have government
	ca	
	1 C	circumstances.
ıs, t	cherefore	e, the great victim, for it must assume
	1	all the
	and ge	
۰t	÷	destroys the
of	111	those very organizations which bear
		that
1m	for	all the risks, the state has not had
1111	101	sufficient
	Cred	it societies, especially in Cochin-China,
lef		to their own devices, and only in 1931
101	.0 000	was
	any	to control them through a central
	ally	organization.
to	0 7	with government charity: there was no
		e borrower's capacity to pay, and too
t		between the loans and his capital.
		Even In
too	W (vere repaid, and this tendency naturally
	the dep	pression. There was a considerable and
	on th	ne part of the natives about the philan-
of	aid*	The whole situation showed a need to
		he real function of agricultural credit,
pr	roven ver	y untrustworthy intermediaries. There
too	red t	cape and dekys, too little state control,
	too	risk. Despite recent measures to
		improve these
the		the money loaned has been hopelessly
		ned so raucously from the ever-paying
		ry small percentage of the population.
'Th	ie	to relieve the very ones who so
	7	shamelessly
		shamelessly geasy money, should keep in view the not once again be sacrificed for the few.

fast**out** wer the ownership of land. *The* **govern**wwlf **it** protected native **rights too zealously,** it who were of prime **Importance to the**

JWS